

KLAMATH FALLS RESOURCE AREA
NEPA CONFORMANCE/PLAN CONFORMANCE
RECORD

KCER-97-11

BLM Office: Klamath Falls R.A.

Serial No. OR 53761

Applicant: Quicksilver Contracting Co.

Proposed Action Title/Type: issue a right-of-way for a use of an existing road to haul timber harvested from private lands

Location of Proposed Action: T. 41 S., R. 14 E. Sec. 13 SE¼SE¼; T. 41 S., R. 14½ E. Sec. 19 Lots 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 and SE¼NW¼, Sec. 20 Lots 1-4, Sec. 21 Lots 1-4, Sec. 22 Lots 1-4, Sec. 23 Lots 1-4, Sec. 24 Lots 1-4; T. 41 S., R. 15 E. Sec. 17 W½SW¼, NE¼SW¼, NW¼SE¼, SE¼SE¼; Sec. 18 NE¼SE¼, S½SE¼; Sec. 19 NW¼NE¼; Sec. 21 NE¼NW¼.

Description of Proposed Action: Quicksilver Contracting has applied for a right-of-way grant to use the existing Willow Valley and Main Haul Roads to commercially haul wood chips harvested from private lands. The right-of-way would be for 3 months with the right to renew.

Part 1 Plan Conformance Review This proposed action is subject to the following land use plan: Klamath Falls Resource Area Resource Management Plan Approved June 2, 1995

The proposed action has been reviewed for conformance with this plan (43 CFR 1610.5, BLM MS 1617.3)

Tom Cottingham
Realty Specialist

Part 2 NEPA Review

A. Categorical exclusion review. This proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4 E(16). It has been reviewed to determine if any exceptions described in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply.

Tom Cottingham
Realty Specialist

B. Existing EA/EIS review. This proposed action is addressed in the following existing BLM EA/EIS: Klamath Falls Resource Area Resource Management Plan Date Approved: June 2, 1995.

This EA/EIS has been reviewed against the following criteria to determine if it covers the proposed action:

1. The proposed action is a feature of, or is essentially the same as, the alternative selected and analyzed in the existing document.
2. A reasonable range of alternatives was analyzed in the existing document.
3. There has been no significant change in circumstances or significant new information germane to the proposed action.
4. The methodology/analytical techniques approach previously used is appropriate for the proposed action.
5. The direct and indirect impacts of the proposed action are not significantly different than those identified in the existing document.
6. The proposed action would not change the previous analysis of cumulative impacts.
7. Public involvement in the previous analysis provides appropriate coverage for the proposed action.

Tom Cottingham
Realty Specialist

	Initials	Date
SSS Animal Surveys	___	___
Botanical Surveys	_____	_____
Cultural Reviews	_____	_____
Other Reviews	___	___

Part 3 Recommendation/Rationale

Recommendation: I recommend that a right-of-way 9 miles feet long and 30 feet wide, for be issued for use of an existing road be granted to Quicksilver Contracting Co. for a period of 3 months, with an option to renew, across T. 41 S., R. 14 E. Sec. 13 SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$; T. 41 S., R. 14 $\frac{1}{2}$ E. Sec. 19 Lots 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 20 Lots 1-4, Sec. 21 Lots 1-4, Sec. 22 Lots 1-4, Sec. 23 Lots 1-4, Sec. 24 Lots 1-4; T. 41 S., R. 15 E. Sec. 17 W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$; Sec. 18 NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$,; Sec. 19 NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$; Sec. 21 NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.. The grant should be made under the authority of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761) and subject to the terms and conditions in 43 CFR 2801 and rental payments as determined by 43 CFR 2803.1-2. The grant should also be subject to the mitigations set forth in the application.

Rationale for Recommendation: The proposed action meets the criteria for categorical exclusion in 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4 E(16), and none of the exceptions in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply. Further, the action is in conformance with the Klamath Falls Resource Area Resource Management Plan, Approved June 1995 June 2, 1995.

Tom Cottingham
Realty Specialist Date

Decision: I have reviewed this plan conformance and NEPA conformance record and have determined that the proposed project is in conformance with the approved land use plan and that no further environmental analysis is required. It is my decision to implement the project, as described, with the mitigation measures identified below.

Mitigation Measures/Other Remarks:

A. Barron Bail Date
Area Manager
Klamath Falls Resource Area